



# AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

45 BEACON STREET, BOSTON, MA 02108-3693 U.S.A.

TEL: 617-227-2425  
FAX: 617-742-8718  
E-MAIL: [amsinfo@ametsoc.org](mailto:amsinfo@ametsoc.org)  
WEB: [www.ametsoc.org](http://www.ametsoc.org)

KEITH L. SEITTER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
E-MAIL: [kseitter@ametsoc.org](mailto:kseitter@ametsoc.org)

21 June 2017

Rick Perry, Secretary  
Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, DC 20585

Dear Secretary Perry,

In a recent CNBC interview, you stated that you do not agree that carbon dioxide is the primary driver of the global warming that is evident in the temperature records over recent decades. While you acknowledged that the climate is changing and that humans are having an impact on it, it is critically important that you understand that emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases are the primary cause. This is a conclusion based on the comprehensive assessment of scientific evidence. It is based on multiple independent lines of evidence that have been affirmed by thousands of independent scientists and numerous scientific institutions around the world. We are not familiar with any scientific institution with relevant subject matter expertise that has reached a different conclusion. These indisputable findings have shaped our current AMS Statement on Climate Change, which states<sup>1</sup>:

It is clear from extensive scientific evidence that the dominant cause of the rapid change in climate of the past half century is human-induced increases in the amount of atmospheric greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), chlorofluorocarbons, methane, and nitrous oxide. The most important of these over the long term is CO<sub>2</sub>, whose concentration in the atmosphere is rising principally as a result of fossil-fuel combustion and deforestation.

Without this fundamental understanding of the science, it is impossible to discuss potential policy changes in meaningful ways. DOE programs have a major role to play in developing and informing the solutions for our nation's future energy needs, so it is especially important that the best possible science and understanding is applied to policy issues with respect to DOE programs.

In the interview you also mentioned that it should be quite acceptable to be a skeptic about aspects of the science. We agree, and would add that skepticism and debate are always welcome and are critically important to the advancement of science. As noted in a different AMS Statement<sup>2</sup>:

Because of the skeptical nature of scientists, new ideas are accepted very slowly and only after a great deal of scrutiny. In fact, what authority science achieves is based on the openness by which scientific results are presented for review, evaluation, and additional testing.

In climate science unresolved questions remain—issues that currently lack conclusive evidence. However, there are also very solid conclusions that are based on decades of research and multiple lines of evidence. Skepticism that fails to account for evidence is no virtue. As noted above, the role of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases as the primary driver for the warming the Earth has experienced over the past several decades is extremely well established.

The American Meteorological Society and its members have been studying these issues for many years. We stand ready to work with you or your staff to explore how the science can be used effectively to address policy issues related to energy and climate for the benefit of the nation and the world.

Sincerely,

Keith L. Seitter  
Executive Director

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.ametsoc.org/ams/index.cfm/about-ams/ams-statements/statements-of-the-ams-in-force/climate-change/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.ametsoc.org/ams/index.cfm/about-ams/ams-statements/statements-of-the-ams-in-force/climate-science-is-core-to-science-education/>